IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 107 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1636 OF 2005

KUKDEEP KUMAR SETHEE .. PETITIONER

V/S

OIL AND NATURAL GAS CORPO-

RATION LTD. & ORS. .. RESPONDENTS

KULDEEP KUMAR SETHI - PETITIONER IN PERSON PRESENT.

N. ENGINEER I/B DIVYA SHAH & ASSOCIATES FOR

RESPONDENTS.

CORAM : S.K. SHAH, J.

DATE : 24TH NOVEMBER,2006.

P.C.

1. Heard the petitioner in person. Learned counsel for the Respondents states that the preliminary departmental enquiry has been completed on 22/2/2006 and the report has been served on the petitioner on 16/3/2006. The petitioner does not dispute this fact. By serving the preliminary report the petitioner is called upon to submit his reply. The reply is not yet received by the Respondents. The petitioner shall

give reply. At any rate the enquiry is yet not complete and no action was taken against the petitioner. Therefore, this contempt petition is premature.

2. The petitioner states that under the garb of enquiry the Respondents have withheld the payment due to the petitioner. However, we cannot go into that aspect in the contempt petition. The contempt petition is disposed of being premature.

(S.K. SHAH, J.)